

# Corrigendum. Definition of a clinical strategy to enhance the efficacy, efficiency and safety of egg donation cycles with imported vitrified oocytes

Laura Rienzi<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Danilo Cimadomo<sup>1,2</sup>, Roberta Maggiulli<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Vaiarelli<sup>1</sup>, Ludovica Dusi<sup>2</sup>, Laura Buffo<sup>2</sup>, Maria Giulia Amendola<sup>1</sup>, Silvia Colamaria<sup>1</sup>, Maddalena Giuliani<sup>1</sup>, Giulietta Bruno<sup>1</sup>, Marta Stoppa<sup>1</sup>, and Filippo Maria Ubaldi<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Clinica Valle Giulia, GENERA Center for Reproductive Medicine, Rome, Italy <sup>2</sup>GENERA Veneto, GENERA Center for Reproductive Medicine, Marostica, Italy


\*Correspondence address. GENERA Centers for Reproductive Medicine, via G. de Notaris 2b, 00197, Rome, Italy.  
E-mail: rienzi@generaroma.it

Hum Reprod 2020;35:785–795

The authors would like to apologise for an error in the legend of Figure 1A in the above article.

The green bar represents completed cycles (and not open cycles). The grey bar represents open cycles (and not completed cycles).

A corrected version of Figure 1 is available below. The electronic version of this article has been updated at <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deaa009>.



**Figure 1** Distribution of cycles according to year. **(A)** Rate of completed cycle; **(B)** number of warmed oocytes; **(C)** cycle strategy. ET, embryo transfer.