

Challenging the cervix: strategies to overcome the anatomic impediments to hysteroscopy: analysis of 31,052 office hysteroscopies

Stefano Bettocchi, M.D.,^a Silvia Bramante, M.D.,^b Giuseppe Bifulco, M.D., Ph.D.,^c Marialuigia Spinelli, M.D.,^c Oronzo Ceci, M.D.,^a Fabiana Divina Fascilla, M.D.,^a and Attilio Di Spiezio Sardo, M.D., Ph.D.^c

^a Department of Biomedical Sciences and Human Oncology, University of Bari, Bari; ^b Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Santo Spirito Hospital, Pescara; and ^c Department of Neuroscience and Reproductive and Odontostomatologic Science, University of Naples "Federico II," Naples, Italy

Objective: To report our experience on 10,156 cases of cervical stenosis (CS) diagnosed at office hysteroscopy.

Design: Retrospective study.

Setting: Ambulatory clinics of diagnostic and operative hysteroscopy of two university teaching hospitals (Naples and Bari).

Patient(s): A total of 31,052 patients undergoing office hysteroscopy.

Intervention(s): All of the paper and electronic reports of the office hysteroscopies performed from January 1996 to September 2014 were reviewed. Hysteroscopies were classified as successful (i.e., when access to and visualization of the entire uterine cavity was possible during the same procedure), incomplete (i.e., when access to uterine cavity was possible, but the entire uterine cavity could not be examined), or failed (i.e., when access to uterine cavity was not possible). CS was classified on the basis of localization: stenosis of external cervical ostium (ECO; type I); stenosis of distal third of cervical channel and the internal cervical ostium (ICO; type II); stenosis of the ICO (type III), and combined stenosis of ECO and ICO (type IV).

Main Outcome Measure(s): The success rate at overpassing CS (including both successful and incomplete hysteroscopies) was the primary outcome measure. Secondary outcome measures were frequency and localization of CS in fertile and postmenopausal women and the frequency of use of technical maneuvers and/or miniaturized mechanical or bipolar instruments to overcome them.

Result(s): All hysteroscopies were performed with the use of a 5- or 4-mm rigid continuous-flow office operative hysteroscope by operators with different levels of expertise. The hysteroscopy technique used was standardized between the two centers and among all of the surgeons throughout the years. An access to the uterine cavity with a complete evaluation of the whole endometrial surface was possible in 93.9% of cases (29,152 patients). The main reasons of the 1,320 (4.3%) incomplete and 580 (1.9%) failed hysteroscopies were pain and CS, respectively. CS was identified in 10,156 women (32.7% of all procedures) and was significantly more frequent in postmenopausal than in fertile women (70.1% vs. 29.9%), except for type I stenosis, which was more frequent in fertile than in postmenopausal women. Type IV CS (44.3%) was the most commonly detected. Overall, CS was managed successfully with minimal discomfort in 98.5% of cases with technical maneuvers and miniaturized mechanical and/or bipolar instruments. Adhesiolysis with the distal tip of the hysteroscope by rotating the scope on the endocamera was the significantly more used strategy to overpass all types of CS (39.8% of cases), generally used in combination with miniaturized operative instruments (79.2%). Bipolar electrodes were more used in cases of type I and type IV stenosis (39.7%) compared with the other types of CS.

Conclusion(s): CS and pain represent the main reasons for failed hysteroscopy. Recent technical and technologic innovations, together with increased operator experience and optimal pain management, have made it possible to overcome even severe CS with the use of office hysteroscopy, thus significantly reducing the rate of failed procedures and the need for operating room and general anesthesia. (Fertil Steril® 2016;105:e16–7. ©2016 by American Society for Reproductive Medicine.)

Key Words: Anatomic impediments, cervical stenosis, miniaturized instruments, office hysteroscopy

Discuss: You can discuss this article with its authors and with other ASRM members at <http://fertilityforum.com/bettocchis-anatomical-impediments-hysteroscopy/>



Use your smartphone to scan this QR code and connect to the discussion forum for this article now.*

* Download a free QR code scanner by searching for "QR scanner" in your smartphone's app store or app marketplace.

Received September 28, 2015; accepted January 21, 2016; published online February 9, 2016.

S. Bettocchi has nothing to disclose. S. Bramante has nothing to disclose. G.B. has nothing to disclose. M.S. has nothing to disclose. O.C. has nothing to disclose. F.D.F. has nothing to disclose. A.D.S.S. has nothing to disclose.

Reprint requests: Attilio Di Spiezio Sardo, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Neuroscience and Reproductive and Odontostomatologic Sciences, University of Naples "Federico II", Via Pansini 5, Naples, Italy (E-mail: cdispie@tin.it).

Fertility and Sterility® Vol. 105, No. 5, May 2016 0015-0282/\$36.00

Copyright ©2016 American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Published by Elsevier Inc.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.01.030>

AVAILABLE ON YOUTUBE

<https://youtu.be/a4Pi7oiQCxw>

SUGGESTED READING

- Di Spiezio Sardo A, Guida M, Bettocchi S, Nappi L, Sorrentino F, Bifulco G, et al. Role of hysteroscopy in evaluating chronic pelvic pain. *Fertil Steril* 2008;90:1191–6.
- Nagele F, O'Connor H, Davies A, Badawy A, Mohamed H, Magos A. 2500 outpatient diagnostic hysteroscopies. *Obstet Gynecol* 1996;88:87–92.
- Marana R, Marana E, Catalano GF. Current practical application of office endoscopy. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 2001;13:383–7.
- Kremer C, Duffy S, Moroney M. Patient satisfaction with outpatient hysteroscopy versus day case hysteroscopy: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2000;320:279–82.
- Yang J, Vollenhoven B. Pain control in outpatient hysteroscopy. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2002;57:693–702.
- Cicinelli E, Parisi C, Galantino P, Pinto V, Barba B, Schonauer S. Reliability, feasibility, and safety of minihysteroscopy with a vaginoscopic approach: experience with 6,000 cases. *Fertil Steril* 2003;80:199–202.
- Campo R, Molinas CR, Rombauts L, Mestdagh G, Lauwers M, Braekmans P, et al. Prospective multicentre randomized controlled trial to evaluate factors influencing the success rate of office diagnostic hysteroscopy. *Hum Reprod* 2005;20:258–63.
- Bettocchi S, Selvaggi L. A vaginoscopic approach to reduce the pain of office hysteroscopy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 1997;4:255–8.
- di Spiezio Sardo A, Taylor A, Tsirkas P, Mastrogamvrakis G, Sharma M, Magos A. Hysteroscopy: a technique for all? Analysis of 5,000 outpatient hysteroscopies. *Fertil Steril* 2008;89:438–43.
- de Angelis C, Santoro G, Re ME, Nofroni I. Office hysteroscopy and compliance: mini-hysteroscopy versus traditional hysteroscopy in a randomized trial. *Hum Reprod* 2003;18:2441–5.
- Suh-Burgmann EJ, Whall-Strojwas D, Chang Y, Hundley D, Goodman A. Risk factors for cervical stenosis after loop electrocautery excision procedure. *Obstet Gynecol* 2000;96(5 Pt 1):657–60.
- Sharma M, Taylor A, di Spiezio Sardo A, Buck L, Mastrogamvrakis G, Kosmas I, et al. Outpatient hysteroscopy: traditional versus the “no-touch” technique. *BJOG* 2005;112:963–7.
- Bettocchi S, Pansini N, Porreca MR, Selvaggi L. Anatomic impediments to the performance of hysteroscopy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 1996;3(4 Suppl):S4.
- Litta P, Bonora M, Pozzan C, Merlin F, Sacco G, Fracas M, et al. Carbon dioxide versus normal saline in outpatient hysteroscopy. *Hum Reprod* 2003;18:2446–9.
- Dalton VK, Saunders NA, Harris LH, Williams JA, Lebovic DI. Intrauterine adhesions after manual vacuum aspiration for early pregnancy failure. *Fertil Steril* 2006;85:1823.e1–3.
- Al-Inany H. Intrauterine adhesions. An update. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2001;80:986–93.
- Tam WH, Lau WC, Cheung LP, Yuen PM, Chung TK. Intrauterine adhesions after conservative and surgical management of spontaneous abortion. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 2002;9:182–5.
- Vejrskov LO, Schouenborg L, Sørensen F, Nielsen D, Sørensen SS, Juhl BR, et al. Loop diathermy or laser excisional conization for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 1999;78:900–5.
- Baldauf JJ, Dreyfus M, Ritter J, Meyer P, Philippe E. Risk of cervical stenosis after large loop excision or laser conization. *Obstet Gynecol* 1996;88:933–8.
- Mathevet P, Chemali E, Roy M, Dargent D. Long-term outcome of a randomized study comparing three techniques of conization: cold knife, laser, and LEEP. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2003;106:214–8.
- Houliard S, Perrotin F, Fourquet F, Marret H, Lansac J, Body G. Risk factors for cervical stenosis after laser cone biopsy. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2002;104:144–7.
- Dickey KW, Zreik TG, Hsia HC, Eschelman DJ, Keefe DL, Olive DL, et al. Transvaginal uterine cervical dilation with fluoroscopic guidance: preliminary results in patients with infertility. *Radiology* 1996;200:497–503.
- Bettocchi S, Ceci O, Nappi L, di Venere R, Masciopinto V, Pansini V, et al. Operative office hysteroscopy without anesthesia: analysis of 4863 cases performed with mechanical instruments. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 2004;11:59–61.
- Bettocchi S, Nappi L, Ceci O, Selvaggi L. What does “diagnostic hysteroscopy” mean today? The role of the new techniques. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 2003;15:303–8.
- Sagiv R, Sadan O, Boaz M, Dishi M, Schechter E, Golan A. A new approach to office hysteroscopy compared with traditional hysteroscopy: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006;108:387–92.
- Bettocchi S, Ceci O, di Venere R, Pansini MV, Pellegrino A, Marelli F, et al. Advanced operative office hysteroscopy without anaesthesia: analysis of 501 cases treated with a 5Fr bipolar electrode. *Hum Reprod* 2002;17:2435–8.
- Grund D, Köhler C, Krauel H, Schneider A. A new approach to preserve fertility by using a coated nitinol stent in a patient with recurrent cervical stenosis. *Fertil Steril* 2007;87:1212.e13–6.
- Noyes N, Licciardi F, Grifo J, Krey L, Berkeley A. In vitro fertilization outcome relative to embryo transfer difficulty: a novel approach to the forbidding cervix. *Fertil Steril* 1999;72:261–5.
- Pabuccu R, Ceyhan ST, Onalan G, Goktolga U, Ercan CM, Selam B. Successful treatment of cervical stenosis with hysteroscopic canalization before embryo transfer in patients undergoing IVF: a case series. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol* 2005;12:436–8.
- Isaacson K. Office hysteroscopy: a valuable but under-utilized technique. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 2002;14:381–5.
- Khalifa Y, Redgment CJ, Yazdani N, Taranissi M, Craft IL. Intramural pregnancy following difficult embryo transfer. *Hum Reprod* 1994;9:2427–8.